

RECEIVED DUPW UNTE

JAN 2 8 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Bernd Schulze

Serial No:

09/507,453

Filing Date: February 22, 2000

Title:

INTERNAL HIGH PRESSURE TRANSFORMING

PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION OF IN PARTICULAR BELLY

SHAPED REAR CUT HOLLOW BODIES AND

ASSOCIATED DEVICE

Examiner:

David B. JONES

Art Unit: 3725

January 20, 2004

Attorney's Docket No.: RUM213P2

SECOND RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

SIR:

This is in further response to Decision on Petition, dated October 20, 2003, stating time period of two (2) months from the mailing date of the Decision to file a renewed petition. Applicant timely filed a response to Decision on Petition on November 17, 2003. A copy of a return post card, stamped by the Mailing Room of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 17, 2003, and a copy of the Transmittal Letter dated November 11, 2003, are enclosed.

The Decision on Petition of October 20, 2003 states that it is the decision on the petition filed by facsimile transmission on September 6, 2003, requesting withdrawal of the holding that this application is abandoned for failure to timely file the issue fee. The Decision states that the petition is dismissed.

The Decision acknowledges receipt of a copy of the transmittal letter for a supplemental declaration, the authorization of payment of the issue fee, and a copy of post card receipt for the transmittal letter which shows that it was received in the Office on April 30, 2003. Moreover, the Decision states that the fee authorization had affixed a 37 CFR 1.8(a) certificate of mailing dated April 30, 2003. However, the Decision states, petitioner's fee authorization was without effect since the fee authorization authorized the Office to charge Deposit Account No. 11-0224 "any fees under 35 U.S.C. 1.16, and 1.17, after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance under 35 USC 1.18, or any additional fees which may be required during the entire pendency of this application..." but there are no fees that are due under any of 35 U.S.C. §1.6, 35 U.S.C. § 17 or 35 U.S.C. § 1.8.

The Decision continues that the issue fee is authorized by 35 U.S.C. § 151 and the amount of this fee is in fact set by 37 CFR 1.18.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. The authorization to pay the issue fee in the transmittal letter for the supplemental declaration filed on April 30, 2003 which states "The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 35 U.S.C. 1.16, and 1.17, after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance under 35 USC 1.18 or any additional fees which may be required..." should, in fact, be read as "The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16, and 1.17, after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance under 37 C.F.R. 1.18 or any additional fees which

S.N. 09/507,453

may be required...." Applicant submits that it is well known that the fee are set in 37 C.F.R. and not in 35 U.S.C. and that it is clear that the applicant wanted to refer to the 37 C.F.R. The numbering of the Sections as 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18 clearly points to the numbering in 37 C.F.R.

Applicant believes that the typographical errors made by the applicant in the authorization to pay the issue fee, by incorrectly stating the U.S. Code instead of the federal regulation volume governing the payment of the issue fee, should not prejudice the applicant's clear authorization to charge any fees as required.

Moreover, despite improper citation of sections 1.16 and 1.17 under Title 35 of the United States Code, and not 37 C.F.R., the language of the authorization is clear enough to show that the applicant intended to pay the issue fee in the above-captioned patent application. The language of the authorization "...after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance" and "...or any additional fees which may be required during the entire pendency of this application" provides sufficient indication that the Commissioner is authorized to charge, among other fees required to be paid during pendency of a patent application, also the issue fee to the undersigned deposit account.

In addition, the undersigned has observed that it has never happened in the past, during the long practice of the undersigned before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, that the Office had any difficulties with interpreting the authorization clause given in the transmittal letters with the same typographical error relating to the reference to 35 U.S.C. and not to 37 C.F.R. in connection with an issue fee.

In addition, the undersigned believes that during his long practice before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office the Patent Office contacted the undersigned by phone in most cases were authorization to charge the deposit account of the undersigned attorney was found to be questionable.

The Decision further states that the fee authorization affixed to the transmittal letter does not specifically authorize the Office to charge the issue fee, but instead merely authorizes the Office to charge nonexistent fees in accordance with nonexistent Sections of Title 35 of the United States Code.

The undersigned respectfully disagree. The undersigned specifically authorized charging the issue fee "after a mailing of Notice of Allowance" to a deposit account at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The general clause, including authorization to charge a group of fees, is commonly accepted and used by the attorneys practicing before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Consequently, the authorization given by the undersigned in the transmittal letter filed on April 30, 2003 is believed to be

S.N. 09/507,453

sufficiently clear to express the intention of the applicant that the issue fee is

to be charged to the deposit account of the undersigned attorney.

Finally, the Decision concludes that because there is no evidence that the issue fee

was timely paid, and because the evidence submitted by petitioner does not show that

petitioner ever authorized the Office to charge the issue fee or to charge any fees under

37 CFR 1.18, there is no basis for withdrawing the holding of abandonment.

Applicant respectfully disagrees. Applicant filed a copy the transmittal

letter dated April 30, 2003, and copy of the returned post card on September

6, 2003 in support of the undersigned contention that the Commissioner of

Patents and Trademarks was authorized to charge the issue fee due in case of

the present patent application to the undersigned deposit account no. 11-

0224.

Acceptance of the renewed petition and withdrawal of abandonment of

the present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Bernd Schulze

By:

Horst Kasper, his attorney

How Mlanger

13 Forest Drive, Warren, N.J. 07059

Tel.(908)757-2839; Reg. No. 28,559

Attorney's Docket No.: RUM213

*%(RUM213(January 20, 2004(am

	(PE)
(PEW)	
), <u>"</u>	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
3 2004 1	MON 1 See 3
MN 2 3 200h B	The confession of the confessi
TONE OF THE PARTY	WE TRADE
CIG TARGE	DOCKET NO. RUM213
· philosophysis and	SERIAL NO.: 09/507,453
	The date stamp of the Patent Office hereon may be considered as the date on which papers indicated below were received
	<x> RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181</x>
	<x> Transmittal Letter</x>
	(Patent Office. Please stamp and return to the addressee on reverse side)
 ,	

RECEIVED

JAN 2 8 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Bernd Schulze	•	
Defind Schulze		
09/507,453	Filing Date: Februa	ry 22, 2000
OF IN PARTICULAR BEL ASSOCIATED DEVICE	LY SHAPED REAR CU	
November 11, 2003	Attorney's Dock	cet No.: RUM213t7
	ANSMITTAL LETTER	RECEIVED
IONS /NO FEE		JAN 2 8 2004
ommissioner for Patents		TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
ant hereby petitions the Commissioner ne above captioned matter as necessar ne amount required to cover the cost of nt. ssioner is hereby authorized to charge a 1.18 or any additional fees which m Acct. No.11-0224. A duplicate copy tact our associate, Lisa Zumwalt, at (70.19) my Account No.11-0224 in the amouning a transport of the above recited above recited and received account to the above recited and received and received account to the above recited above recited and received account to the above recited and received account to the above recited account to the account to t	of Patents and Trademarks to extension. Any deficiency any fees under 35 U.S.C. 1.16, and the extension of the extension. Any deficiency any fees under 35 U.S.C. 1.16, and the extension of this sheet is enclosed. If an extension of this sheet is enclosed. If an extension of \$\text{L} \text{L}	axtend the time for response to any Office Action application. Please charge my deposit account or overpayment should be charged or credited to and 1.17, after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance ire pendency of the application, or credit any and only if account funds should be insufficient, diately to avoid deprivation of rights. The copy of this sheet is enclosed.
Horst M		ve, Warren, N.J. 07059 59 Tel.(908) 526-1717
fy that the correspondence atte, with sufficient postage, as	first class mail in an enve	posited with the United States elope addressed to: Commissioner 1 4 NOV 2003
	INTERNAL HIGH PRESSIOF IN PARTICULAR BELL ASSOCIATED DEVICE David B. JONES November 11, 2003 TRANSIONS /NO FEE Demmissioner for Patents of D.C. 20231 herewith for filing is: wed Petition under 37 CF and hereby petitions the Commissioner nee above captioned matter as necessar nee amount required to cover the cost of the above captional fees which makes and additional fees which makes and additional fees which makes no any additio	INTERNAL HIGH PRESSURE TRANSFORMING OF IN PARTICULAR BELLY SHAPED REAR CLASSOCIATED DEVICE David B. JONES Art Unit: 3725 November 11, 2003 Attorney's Dock **TRANSMITTAL LETTER** TONS /NO FEE Dommissioner for Patents D.C. 20231 herewith for filing is: wed Petition under 37 CFR 1.181 dated Nover and hereby petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to ene above captioned matter as necessary to avoid abandonment of the new amount required to cover the cost of the extension. Any deficiency on the second state of the extension of the e



7.1C 3725

Appricant: Serial No: Title:	Bernd Schulze 09/507,453	Filing Date: February 22, 2	
Applicant: Serial No:	09/507,453 INTERNAL HIGH PRESS		000
, Borrar 1 to:	09/507,453 INTERNAL HIGH PRESS		000
, Borrar 1 to:	INTERNAL HIGH PRES		.000
Title:		SURE TRAINSFURIVILING PROC	ECC EOD DDODLICTION OF
	IN PARTICULAR BELL	TOTAL DEED DEAD OF THE HOLE O	
		Y SHAPED REAR CUT HOLLO	W BODIES AND
	ASSOCIATED DEVICE		
Examiner:	David B. JONES	Art Unit: 3725	
	January 20, 2004	Attorney's Docket No.: R	LUM213T1
	T	RANSMITTAL LETTER	RECEIVED
Assistant Commissioner for Patents			JAN 2 8 2004
Washington	n, D.C. 20231		TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
<x> Copy <x> Copy (X) The appl outstanding in No.11-0224 in the above acco (X) The Comunder 35 USC overpayment, immediately cc () Please char A signature or</x></x>	of returned post card of Transmittal Letter dated I icant hereby petitions the Commission the above captioned matter as neces the amount required to cover the cos unt. hissioner is hereby authorized to char I 1.18 or any additional fees which to Acct. No.11-0224. A duplicate con tact our associate, Lisa Zumwalt, at age my Account No.11-0224 in the am signatures required for the above re any required signature appearing to be	ner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the sary to avoid abandonment of the application of the extension. Any deficiency or overpage any fees under 35 U.S.C. 1.16, and 1.17, and may be required during the entire pendopy of this sheet is enclosed. If and only in (703)415-0579, who will pay immediately to	e time for response to any Office Action ion. Please charge my deposit account ayment should be charged or credited to after a mailing of a Notice of Allowance ency of the application, or credit any if account funds should be insufficient, avoid deprivation of rights. This sheet is enclosed. low. Such signature(s) also provide(s)
		Reg. No. 28,559 Tel.	
I hereby ce	ostage as first class mail in a	37 CFR 1.8: e is being deposited with the United an envelope addressed to: Assistan JAN 2004	